

west virginia department of environmental protection

Division of Water and Waste Management

601 57th Street SE Charleston, WV 25304

Phone: (304) 926-0495 Fax: (304) 926-0463 Earl Ray Tomblin, Governor Randy C. Huffman, Cabinet Secretary www.dep.wv.gov

CONSENT ORDER ISSUED UNDER THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT WEST VIRGINIA CODE, CHAPTER 22, ARTICLE 11 AND THE GROUNDWATER PROTECTION ACT WEST VIRGINIA CODE, CHAPTER 22, ARTICLE 12

TO: City of Martinsburg

P.O. Box 828

232 North Queen Street

Martinsburg, WV 25402

DATE: April 4, 2013

ORDER NO.: 7784

INTRODUCTION

This Consent Order is issued by the Director of the Division of Water and Waste Management (hereinafter "Director"), under the authority of West Virginia Code, Chapter 22, Article 11, Section 1 et seq. and Chapter 22, Article 12, Section 1 et seq. to City of Martinsburg (hereinafter "Martinsburg").

FINDINGS OF FACT

In support of this Order, the Director hereby finds the following:

- Martinsburg operates a combined sewer collection system and an existing 3.0 million gallon per day trickling filter wastewater treatment facility located in The City of Martinsburg, Berkeley County, West Virginia. Martinsburg was issued WV/NPDES Water Pollution Control Permit No. WV0023167 on December 27, 2007.
- 2. On April 29, 2010, West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) personnel conducted an inspection of the facility. During the inspection, a violation of the following section of the terms and conditions of Martinsburg's WV/NPDES permit was observed and documented:

a. Section C.21 – Discharge from Outfall 001 caused a visible plume in Tuscarora Creek, creating conditions not allowable in waters of the State.

As a result of the aforementioned violation, Notice of Violation (NOV) No. CM-TJA-042910-001 was issued to Martinsburg.

- 3. On June 1, 2010, WVDEP personnel conducted an inspection of the facility. During the inspection, a violation of the following section of the terms and conditions of Martinsburg's WV/NPDES permit was observed and documented:
 - a. Section A.001 Two (2) fecal coliform samples were collected at Outfall 001, and two (2) fecal coliform samples were split from a sterilized container filled at Outfall 001. Analysis of the samples collected at the Outfall resulted in 4,400 and 4,200 counts/100 mL, and analysis of the split sample resulted in 4,700 and 5,600 counts/100 mL. The geometric mean of these samples resulted in 4,697 counts/100 mL, which exceeds the maximum daily permit limit of 400 counts/100 mL.

As a result of the aforementioned violation, NOV No. CM-TJA-060110-001 was issued to Martinsburg.

- 4. On August 1, 2012, WVDEP personnel conducted an inspection of the facility. During the inspection, violations of the following sections of WV Legislative Rules and Martinsburg's WV/NPDES permit were observed and documented:
 - a. Appendix A.III.2.a. Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) were submitted for the City of Martinsburg Water Plant (IU02) using a form from the previous permit, which expired December 17, 2007.
 - b. Appendix A.III.2.c Upon a review of records, it was determined that analysis of the January 2012 IU02 Filter Backwash sample resulted in an aluminum concentration of 27.3 mg/L. This value exceeds the permitted maximum daily limit of 10 mg/L. Because an expired DMR form, with an incorrect limit of 30 mg/L, was used, no permit exceedance was listed on the submitted DMR.
 - c. 47CSR58 Section 4.7.d Polymer containing drums lacking secondary containment were observed outside the sludge belt press and headworks buildings.
 - d. 47CSR58 Section 7.1 A leaking steel drum containing polymer was observed outside the sludge belt press building.
 - e. Appendix A.II.3.b On June 29, 2012, a bypass occurred as a result of losing power from the emergency backup generator.
 - f. Section A.001 A fecal coliform sample was collected immediately after disinfection. Sample analysis resulted in an estimated concentration of 1100 counts/100 mL. This value exceeds the maximum daily permit limit of 400 counts/100 mL.

As a result of the aforementioned violations, NOV No. CM-TJA-080112-001 was issued to Martinsburg.

5. On September 1, 2012, WVDEP personnel conducted a review of facility records from the time period of August 2010 through August 2012. During this review, the following

violations of the terms and conditions of Martinsburg's WV/NPDES permit (Section A.001) were observed:

- a. Nine (9) exceedances of Martinsburg's permit parameters were observed and documented (Table 1). These exceedances can be further defined as:
 - i. Minor violations-eight (8)
 - ii. Moderate violations-one (1)
- 6. On February 27, 2013, WVDEP personnel and representatives of Martinsburg met to discuss the terms and conditions of this Order. During the meeting, Martinsburg represented the following:
 - a. The June 29, 2012 bypass occurred as a result of the Derecho storm event. Loss of power, and the consequential lack of post-aeration, led to Martinsburg's July 2012 Dissolved Oxygen (D.O.) exceedance. At the time of the power loss, the facility was being properly operated and maintained, and appropriate treatment/remediation measures were established in response to the electrical failure.
 - b. On August 20, 2012, in correspondence to WVDEP personnel, Martinsburg requested utilization of an excursion allowance for the July 2012 Maximum Daily Fecal Coliform exceedance. WVDEP agrees that the excursion was allowable, in accordance with Section A.001 of Martinsburg's WV/NPDES permit; therefore, the exceedance shall not be penalized.

ORDER FOR COMPLIANCE

Now, therefore, in accordance with Chapter 22, Article 11, Section 1 et seq. and Chapter 22, Article 12, Section 1 et seq. of the West Virginia Code, it is hereby agreed between the parties, and ORDERED by the Director:

- 1. Martinsburg shall immediately take all measures to initiate compliance with all terms and conditions of its WV/NPDES permit and pertinent laws and rules.
- 2. Within twenty (20) days of the effective date of this Order, Martinsburg shall submit for approval a proposed plan of corrective action and schedule, outlining action items and completion dates for how and when Martinsburg will achieve compliance with all terms and conditions of its WV/NPDES permit and pertinent laws and rules. The plan of corrective action shall be submitted to:

WVDEP Environmental Inspector Supervisor
Compliance Monitoring
PO Box 662
Teays, WV 25569

A copy of this plan shall be submitted to:

Chief Inspector Environmental Enforcement - Mail Code #031328 WVDEP 601 57th Street SE Charleston, WV 25304

Upon approval, the plan of corrective action and schedule shall be incorporated into and become part of this Order, as if fully set forth herein. Failure to submit an approvable plan of corrective action and schedule or failure to adhere to the approved schedule is a violation of this Order.

3. Because of Martinsburg's permit violations, Martinsburg shall be assessed a civil administrative penalty of fifteen thousand eight hundred thirty dollars (\$15,830) to be paid to the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection for deposit in the Water Quality Management Fund within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order. Payments made pursuant to this paragraph are not tax-deductible for purposes of State or federal law. Payment shall include a reference to the Order No. and shall be mailed to:

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 1. Martinsburg hereby waives its right to appeal this Order under the provisions of Chapter 22, Article 11, Section 21 and/or Chapter 22, Article 12, Section 11 of the Code of West Virginia. Under this Order, Martinsburg agrees to take all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Order and consents to and will not contest the Director's jurisdiction regarding this Order. However, Martinsburg does not admit to any factual and legal determinations made by the Director and reserves all rights and defenses available regarding liability or responsibility in any proceedings regarding Martinsburg other than proceedings, administrative or civil, to enforce this Order.
- 2. The Director reserves the right to take further action if compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order does not adequately address the violations noted herein and reserves all rights and defenses which he may have pursuant to any legal authority, as well as the right to raise, as a basis for supporting such legal authority or defenses, facts other than those contained in the Findings of Fact.
- 3. If any event occurs which causes delay in the achievement of the requirements of this Order, Martinsburg shall have the burden of proving that the delay was caused by circumstances beyond its reasonable control which could not have been overcome by due

diligence (i.e., force majeure). Force majeure shall not include delays caused or contributed to by the lack of sufficient funding. Within three (3) working days after Martinsburg becomes aware of such a delay, notification shall be provided to the Director/Chief Inspector and Martinsburg shall, within ten (10) working days of initial notification, submit a detailed written explanation of the anticipated length and cause of the delay, the measures taken and/or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay, and a timetable by which Martinsburg intends to implement these measures. If the Director agrees that the delay has been or will be caused by circumstances beyond the reasonable control of Martinsburg (i.e., force majeure), the time for performance hereunder shall be extended for a period of time equal to the delay resulting from such circumstances. A force majeure amendment granted by the Director shall be considered a binding extension of this Order and of the requirements herein. The determination of the Director shall be final and not subject to appeal.

- 4. Compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order shall not in any way be construed as relieving Martinsburg of the obligation to comply with any applicable law, permit, other order, or any other requirement otherwise applicable. Violations of the terms and conditions of this Order may subject Martinsburg to additional penalties and injunctive relief in accordance with the applicable law.
- 5. The provisions of this Order are severable and should a court or board of competent jurisdiction declare any provisions to be invalid or unenforceable, all other provisions shall remain in full force and effect.
- 6. This Order is binding on Martinsburg, its successors and assigns.
- 7. This Order shall terminate upon Martinsburg's notification of full compliance with the "Order for Compliance" and verification of this notification by WVDEP.

Marabardar	W 4/11/13	4/4/3
City of Martinsburg	Mark S. Baldwin, City Manager	Date /
Public Notice begin:		
		Date
Public Notice end:	APR 1 5 2013	
	ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT	Date
Scott G. Mandirola, Division of Water and		Date

Table One: Martinsburg DMR Exceedance Summary

	DMR Exceedances - A		Permitted	Reported	RECEIVED AND	Degree of non-compliance				
Date	Parameter	Units	avg. monthly	avg. monthly	% Exceedance	Min	Mod	Maj		
01/2011	BOD5	mg/L	30	35.6	19%	X				
10/2011	BOD5	mg/L	20	21.82	9%	X	-	-		
02 / 2012	BOD5	mg/L	30	38.57	29%	X				
05/2012	BOD5	mg/L	20	27.82	39%	X	-	-		

Date	Parameter	Units	Permitted	Reported	% Exceedance	Degree	Degree of non-compliance				
			max. daily	max. daily		Min	Mod	Maj			
05 / 2012	BOD5	mg/L	40	44.59	11%	X	-				
05 / 2012	TSS	mg/L	60	88	47%	X					
07 / 2012	Fecal Coliform	Cts/100 ml	400	560	40%	X					
08/2012	Fecal Coliform	Cts/100 ml	400	804	101%		X	-			

Outlet 001 I	Decision of the second second								
Date	Parameter	Units	Permitted	Reported	% Exceedance	Degree of non-compliance			
			min. daily	min. daily		Min	Mod	Maj	
07/ 2012	D.O.	mg/l	6.0	5.29	11.8%	X	-	-	

Outlet 001 Totals	Degree of non-compliance					
Ounci our Totals	Min	Mod	Maj			
	8	1	0			

Base Penalty Calculation

(pursuant to 47CSR1-6.1)

Responsible Party: City of Martinsburg Receiving Stream: Tuscarora Creek of Opequon
Creek of the Potomac River

Treatment System Design Maximum Flow: 3 MGD

Treatment System Actual Average Flow: 2.38 MGD (if known)

Enter FOF# and rate each finding as to Potential and Extent.

N		on manig	as to Potential and Extent.												
									FOF#	ŧ					
1)	Potential for Harm Factor	Factor Range	4.a	4.b	4.f	5.ai	5.a.ii								
a)	Amount of Pollutant Released	1 to 3	1	1	1	1	1								
b)	Toxicity of Pollutant	0 to 3	0	1	1	1	1								
c)	Sensitivity of the Environment	0 to 3	0	1	1	1	1								
d)	Length of Time	1 to 3	1	1	1	1	1								
e)	Actual Exposure and Effects thereon	0 to 3	0	1	1	1	1								
	Average Potential for Factor	or Harm	0.4	1	1	1	1	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
2)	Extent of Deviation Factor	Factor Range													
	Degree of Non- Compliance	1 to 3	1	2	3	1	2								

Potential for Harm Factors:

1)c - Sensitivity of the Environment Potentially Affected (0 for "dead" stream)

1)d - Length of Time of Violation

1)e - Actual Human/Environmental Exposure and Resulting Effects thereon

Examples/Guidance:

Note: Rate as 1 for Minor, 2 for Moderate and 3 for Major. Rate as 0 if it does not apply.

<u>Minor</u> = exceedance of permit limit by <=40% for Avg. Monthly or <=100% for Daily Max., exceed numeric WQ standard by <= 100%, or report doesn't contain some minor information.

 $\underline{\text{Moderate}}$ = exceedance of permit limit by >= 41% and <= 300% for Avg. Monthly , >= 101% and <= 600% for Daily Max., exceed numeric WQ standard by >= 101% and <= of 600% or report doesn't fully address intended subject matter.

<u>Major</u> = exceedance of permit limit by >= 301% for Avg. Monthly, >= 601% for Daily Max., exceed numeric WQ standard by >= 601%, failure to submit a report, failure to obtain a permit, failure to report a spill, etc. Note that a facility in SNC should be rated as major for length of time and degree of non-compliance.

Narrative WQ standard violations - case-by-case.

Continue rating Findings of Facts (FOF) here, if necessary. Otherwise, continue on Page 3.

1)	Potential for	Factor							FOF#	£					
1)	Harm Factor	Range											S. Tall		
a)	Amount of Pollutant Released	1 to 3													
b)	Toxicity of Pollutant	0 to 3													
c)	Sensitivity of the Environment	0 to 3													
d)	Length of Time	1 to 3													
e)	Actual Exposure and Effects thereon	0 to 3													
	Average Potential f Factor	or Harm	No	No	No	No	No	No	No						
2)	Extent of Deviation Factor	Factor Range													
	Degree of Non- Compliance	1 to 3													

		Extent of Deviation from Requirement							
		Major	Moderate	Minor					
Detential for		\$8,000 to							
Potential for	Major	\$10,000	\$6,000 to \$8,000	\$5,000 to \$6,000					
Harm to		\$4,000 to							
Human Health	Moderate	\$5,000	\$3,000 to \$4,000	\$2,000 to \$3,000					
or the		\$1,500 to							
Environment	Minor	\$2,000	\$1,000 to \$1,500	Up to \$1,000					

	Potential for	Extent of		Well-train	
FOF#	Harm	Deviation	Penalty	Multiple Factor	Base Penalty
4.a	Minor	Minor	\$400	12	\$4,800
4.b	Minor	Moderate	\$1,500	1	\$1,500
4.f	Minor	Major	\$2,000	1	\$2,000
5.ai	Minor	Minor	\$1,000	6	\$6,000
5.a.ii	Minor	Moderate	\$1,500	1	\$1,500
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
0	FALSE	FALSE	FALSE	1	\$0
	Total B	ase Penalty			\$15,800

Penalty Adjustment Factors

(pursuant to 47CSR1-6.2)

Penalty Adjustment Factor

- 6.2.b.1 Degree of or absence of willfulness and/or negligence 0% to 30% increase 6.2.b.4 Previous compliance/noncompliance history 0% to 100% increase based upon review of last three (3) years Warning = maximum of 5% each, N.O.V. = maximum of 10% each, previous Order = maximum of 25% each Consistent DMR violations for <1 year = 10% maximum, for >1 year but <2 years = 20% maximum, for >2 years but <3 years = 30% maximum, for >3 years = 40 % maximum
- 6.2.b.6 Economic benefits derived by the responsible party (increase to be determined)
- 6.2.b.7 Public Interest (increase to be determined)
- 6.2.b.8 Loss of enjoyment of the environment (increase to be determined)
- 6.2.b.9 Staff investigative costs (increase to be determined)
- 6.2.b.10 Other factors

Size of Violator: 0 - 50% decrease

NOTE: This factor is not available to discharges that are causing a water quality violation. This factor does not apply to a commercial or industrial facility that employees or is part of a corporation that employees more than 100 individuals.

	% Reduction
Avg. Daily WW Discharge Flow (gpd)	Factor
< 5,000	50
5,000 to 9,999	40
10,000 to 19,999	30
20,000 to 29,999	20
30,000 to 39,999	10
40,000 to 99,999	5
> 100,000	0

Additional Other factors to be determined for increases or decreases on a case-by-case basis.

Public Notice Costs (cost for newspaper advertisement)

- 6.2.b.2 Good Faith 10% decrease to 10% increase
- 6.2.b.3 Cooperation with the Secretary 0% to 10% decrease
- 6.2.b.5 Ability to pay a civil penalty 0% to 100% decrease

Base Penalty Adjustments

(pursuant to 47CSR1-6.2)

Penalty Adjustment Factor	% Increase	% Decrease	Base Penalty Adjustments
6.2.b.1 - Willfulness and/or negligence -	70 Increase	70 Decrease	\$0
6.2.b.4 - Compliance/noncompliance history	10		\$1,580
6.2.b.6 - Economic benefits -	10		\$1,560
(flat monetary increase)			\$0
6.2.b.7 - Public Interest -			\$0
(flat monetary increase)			\$0
6.2.b.8 - Loss of enjoyment -			,
(flat monetary increase)			\$0
6.2.b.9 - Investigative costs -			
(flat monetary increase)			\$0
6.2.b.10 - Other factors (size of violator)			\$0
6.2.b.10 - Additional Other Factors -			
Increase (flat monetary increase)			\$0
6.2.b.10 - Additional Other Factors -		00	
Decrease (flat monetary decrease)		\$0	\$0
Public Notice Costs (flat monetary increase)	\$30		\$30
6.2.b.2 - Good Faith - Increase			\$0
6.2.b.2 - Good Faith - Decrease			\$0
6.2.b.3 - Cooperation with the Secretary		10	(\$1,580)
6.2.b.5 - Ability to Pay	\$0		
Penalty Adjus	\$30		
Penalty	\$15,830		

Estimated Economic Benefit	Estimated
Item	Benefit (\$)
Monitoring & Reporting	
Installation & Maintenance of Pollution Control Equipment	
O&M expenses and cost of equipment/materials needed for compliance	
Permit Application or Modification	
Competitive Advantage	
Estimated Economic Benefit	\$0
Comments:	



(304) 225-0970 • Fax: (304) 225-0974

www.kaycasto.com

April 12, 2013

West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection Division of Water and Waste management ATTN: Michael A. Zeto, Chief Inspector 601 57th Street SE Charleston WV 25304-2345

RECEIVED

APR 1 5 2013

RE: City of Martinsburg;

WV/NPDES Permit No. WV0023167

Consent Order

ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT

Dear Mr. Zeto:

Enclosed please find the original Consent Order as signed by Mark Baldwin for The City of Martinsburg.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Rose Aviles

Legal Assistant to Timothy P. Stranko

RA/ra

Enclosure